1	BY MR. WEBER:
2	Q Mr. Ontiveros was a carbon copy of that. Again,
3	the subject is Franklin Hotel installation.
4	A Okay.
5	Q If you can take a moment and read this memo.
6	A I sure will. (pause) Okay.
7	Q Do you recall the circumstances surrounding this
8	particular memo? Or do you recall receiving this memo
9	first?
10	A Yeah, I'm familiar with the buildings that are
11	mentioned.
12	Q Do you recall the circumstances that are discussed
13	here in the memorandum?
14	A Again, just reading from the memo, Ivan was
15	supposed to Ivan is the person mentioned in the
16	memorandum was supposed to do a survey of some sort and
17	couldn't get done on a particular day.
18	Q And do you notice the handwriting on there? Is
19	that Mr. Price's handwriting to your knowledge?
20	A It appears to be.
21	Q And do you know if you received a copy of this
22	back also with Mr. Price's handwriting?

Q Do you know why Mr. Price wanted this survey to be

Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

23

24

25

Α

from my files.

Yeah, I'm sure I did. I think this is something

- done quickly or this inspection rather to be done more
- 2 quickly?
- 3 A No.
- O Okay. We're done with that document. There has
- 5 been some discussion that prior to the meeting that was held
- 6 with you, Mr. Nourain, Mr. Price and Mr. Edward Milstein,
- 7 that Mr. Nourain received a fax from somebody, a facsimile
- 8 transmission. Do you recall anything about that?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q You stated that you weren't particularly mad at
- 11 Mr. Nourain at this one meeting. Did anybody else seem
- 12 particularly mad at Mr. Nourain?
- 13 A Not that I can recall.
- 15 A I mean, I think -- personally, I felt mad at
- myself for not supervising better, you know, with hindsight.
- 17 Q Was anybody at the meeting mad at you for that
- 18 very reason?
- 19 A I don't know. I mean, in my own point of view I
- would think that somebody probably would want to be, but,
- you know, I don't remember anybody being made at me.
- 22 Q You didn't receive any type of reprimand for not
- 23 better supervising Mr. Nourain, did you?
- 24 A No.
- MR. WEBER: Thank you. Nothing further.

- I want to just ask you a few JUDGE SIPPEL: 1 questions along these lines. Who told you to supervise 2 3 Mr. Nourain? THE WITNESS: Um, I'm not really positive. could explain or organization a little bit, maybe it will 5 make sense. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I don't mind you doing that, 7 but I think that's a fair question. At some point in your 8 life you were told by somebody to supervise Mr. Nourain I 9 take it. 10 THE WITNESS: Um, well --11 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: No? THE WITNESS: Because he was in technical, get 13 14 that right, technical operations, and I headed up technical 15 operations, yes. But there was never really that -- again, 16 if I could, I'll start over a little bit here. The way the 17 organization was laid out, I went to a weekly meeting, 18 executive meeting every week with both Milstein brothers and Peter Price. And although Peter was my direct report, I 19 still reported, well, my information went directly to the 20 21 Milsteins. 22 And I think as a result of we had stated earlier
- 25 to grow with microwave technology. A new President was

23

24

we had a -- I started off as a general manager of a real

little cable company and wear a lot of hats. We had plans

- 1 brought in and a new VP of Operations was brought in and
- there was a completely different structure. A new
- 3 accounting department and there was a whole bunch of new
- 4 faces.
- 5 When Bruce McKinnon bought the company, it was
- 6 almost kind of assumed that the piece that, Bruce McKinnon
- 7 was in charge of all the construction. I worried about it
- 8 every day, but I reported up through head of construction.
- 9 Behrooz reported in microwave. I worried about customer
- service billing, computer systems that run our converter
- boxes, a whole bunch, installation and repairs. And when he
- 12 left, Bruce McKinnon left, I still had all those other
- duties. The microwave, because it had been done a different
- 14 way, continued to be done that way. Or Peter Price, lawyers
- in Washington. And so that part of it I never really got
- involved in. But supervising, that we had buildings, that
- we had a network to maintain, yeah, I without a doubt
- 18 supervised him in those things. So, I think we're kind of a
- 19 product, the organization was kind of a product that we all
- thought organization. And when Bruce McKinnon left, I think
- 21 there was kind of just an assumption that some of my duties
- which were already there and I was already doing them, that
- 23 I'd continue to do what I was doing.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: But you got involved in, it was
- after you got there that you joined the company, right?

- 1 That you got involved in the -- and when I say you, I mean
- 2 Liberty got involved in the 18 gigahertz, isn't that right?
- THE WITNESS: Right. Prior to 18 gigahertz.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That was 1991.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Right. Peter Price wasn't with the
- 6 company. Bruce McKinnon wasn't with the company. Behrooz
- 7 Nourain wasn't with the company.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Were you with the company?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, who was taking care of
- 11 all --
- 12 THE WITNESS: We didn't have any.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: There was no -- I thought it was
- 14 after 1991 that you began -- maybe I got, maybe I misheard
- 15 your direct testimony.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I thought he was asked about 1991.
- MR. BEGLEITER: That's when licenses became
- 19 necessary.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's what my question is.
- Who was responsible for seeing that the licensing was done?
- 22 THE WITNESS: In 1991?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
- 24 THE WITNESS: We had a -- again, depending on
- where we are in 1991, Bruce McKinnon was hired to oversee

- 1 that.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: But you had -- let me stop you
- 3 there. You had nothing to do with it while McKinnon was
- 4 there.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Right. And we also had an outside
- 6 consultant, Joe Stern.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Stern, right. Okay.
- 8 THE WITNESS: So my involvement there was one of
- 9 more operational, not getting involved in --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that, I understand
- 11 that. But when do you, when did you acquire an
- understanding, a distinct understanding that the licensing,
- 13 supervision of licensing was in your bailiwick, was in your
- 14 responsibility?
- THE WITNESS: After this incident.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: After the incident.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, summer of '95.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: But you testified that when you had
- 19 this meeting in the spring of '95 with Mr. Price,
- 20 Mr. Nourain and Mr. Milstein, that you felt that you were
- 21 responsible. You felt that you had fallen down on your
- 22 responsibilities.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, how could you, how could you
- 25 have come to that conclusion without having some kind of a

- point in time when you knew you had the responsibility?
- THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was the point in
- 3 time where I felt, well, up to that point it was my
- 4 responsibility. I felt that I should have, it should have
- 5 been my responsibility. It was something I should have had
- 6 a better hand in.
- 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: If it should have been your
- 8 responsibility, it would have been up to somebody senior to
- 9 you to make it your responsibility, would it not? I'm just
- 10 having difficulty understanding how you're getting to where
- 11 you got in terms of feeling you had responsibilities.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Well, when I described technical
- 13 operations to you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
- THE WITNESS: With the exception of microwave,
- 16 everything else I worried about.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
- 18 THE WITNESS: All right.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand that.
- THE WITNESS: So to -- in hindsight when that
- 21 finally came to pass, we had issues with our licenses, I
- felt responsible that I should have taken -- I made some, I
- 23 guess a lot of assumptions, they were foolish assumptions.
- 24 that it was all being taken care of. So that when it did
- 25 happen, I felt like I really should have not have made those

- 1 assumptions. It was something I should have taken a closer
- look at and taken more control of.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Did anybody ever tell you prior to
- 4 April of '95 after -- let me rephrase that question. After
- 5 Mr. McKinnon left, did anybody ever tell you from Mr. Price
- on up, you know, keep a watch out on the licensing, be sure
- 7 we don't activate without a license?
- 8 THE WITNESS: No.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That's all I have.
- 10 BY MR. BEGLEITER:
- 11 Q Mr. Ontiveros, do you know if licenses are
- 12 necessary for laser transmissions?
- 13 A If they're necessary? No, they're not.
- MR. BEGLEITER: No other questions, Your Honor.
- 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's it. You're excused as a
- 16 witness. As my instructions with the other witnesses,
- 17 you're not to talk about your testimony with any of the
- 18 other witnesses in this case. If you have anything that you
- 19 want to talk about, talk to counsel about it until after
- this session is over. Once we finish taking this testimony,
- 21 you'll be relieved from that obligation. Understood?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Then you're excused.
- 24 (Witness exits.)
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go off the record for a

	1	minute.
	2	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
	3	JUDGE SIPPEL: We were off the record discussing
	4	scheduling and I'm going to give an overview of exactly
	5	what's been agreed to or required.
	6	First, with respect to witnesses, Mr. Barr will be
	7	in here at 9:30 and we expect to certainly we'll complete
	8	his testimony by the end of the day. Hopefully, we'll
	9	complete it by morning.
	10	After Mr. Barr, and I'm going to, we'll either
	11	have an afternoon session or a Wednesday morning session to
	12	have marked and at least identified for the record the four
	13	or five documents that Mr. Ontiveros testified to today that
	14	were really newly discovered evidence. And then we will
	15	also at that time receive TW/CVs Exhibit number, it would be
	16	Exhibit 44, I take it, the Edward Milstein deposition
	17	transcript.
	18	So we can do that all at one time. So that will
	19	either be some time tomorrow afternoon or Wednesday morning.
	20	And then that will be in a position, at that point I will
	21	hear argument with respect to recalling any witnesses. But
	22	right now you're going to have a very strong burden on that,
	23	because I can't see any basis for doing it.
$\overline{}$	24	We will then, you will then also propose, you

being counsel as a group, will propose new dates for

25

- 1 proposed findings and conclusions. And as I indicated off
- the record, the proposed findings I'm requiring to be
- 3 submitted within 30 days of the actual shutdown of the
- 4 record in this case. And you can work out as I say what you
- 5 feel comfortable with a lesser time, of course, for reply
- 6 briefs. And also an additional week if necessary on the
- 7 pleading cycle for the pending motion to take action with
- 8 respect to document production which that's the open motion
- 9 still with Time Warner and Cablevision.
- 10 And with that, I feel comfortable that by
- 11 Wednesday we will have completed this what I referred to as
- the mini hearing. And we'll get on with resolving this case
- one way or the other.
- I also want to leave with you a thought that I
- have and that is with respect to if I can grant a summary
- decision on this, of course, that's going to be a summary
- decision. If I have to make adverse findings on
- 18 credibility, I would be inclined to do that with a partial
- 19 summary decision, I'm sorry, a partial initial decision
- 20 because there will be a full round of proposed findings and
- 21 reply propose findings on that particular. I'm just
- 22 advising you of that up front because I have to think of all
- 23 the contingencies. Don't infer from anything that I've said
- 24 there that I've decided which way I want to go on this
- issue. I'm going to look at, I'm relying very much on a

```
hard look at these proposed findings on the credibility
 1
      issue from both sides, from all sides. And fortunately, the
 2
      Bureau is going to do theirs independently. But I just, I'm
 3
      just stating that at this point so that you understand how
 4
      I'm thinking procedurally in this case. In any event,
 5
      whichever of the options that I utilize, this case will be
 6
 7
      in a position to be ready for appeal after this round of
      evidence is reviewed by myself. That's all I have to say.
 8
      It's a quarter to 4:00 and we're in recess until 9:30
 9
10
      tomorrow morning. Thank you.
11
                 (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m. the hearing was adjourned
12
      to be reconvened on Tuesday, January 28th, 1997 at 9:30
13
      a.m.)
14
      //
15
      //
16
      //
17
      //
18
      //
19
      //
      11
20
21
      //
22
      //
23
      //
24
      //
```

//

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.:

96-41

CASE TITLE:

Liberty Cable, Co., Inc.

HEARING DATE:

January 27, 1997

LOCATION:

Washington, D. C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

1.27.97

Heritage Reporting Corporation 1220 "L" Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

Gary A. Sabel

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

1.27.97

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Staci Selden

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Don R. Jennings